

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/676,922	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LOWELL, DAVID E.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ERIC C. WAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) ERIC C. WAI.

(2) Dan C Hu (Reg No. 40,025).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 July 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

103

**Claims discussed:**

11,15,17,35,38,52,56

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Kawamoto, Davis

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amending the independent claims to more clearly define the step of virtualizing and devirtualizing the I/O device by indicating that the VMM uses a state machine to perform such steps. The prior art makes no mention for using a state machine to perform virtualization of an I/O device. Applicant authorized amendments to be made via an Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)